Annually resolved impacts of fire management on carbon stocks in Yosemite and Sequoia & Kings Canyon National Parks

Task Agreement J8W07100026

Cooperative Agreement H8W07060001

December 31, 2014

Kendall M.L. Becker and James A. Lutz

Introduction

Fire is a seasonal disturbance in montane forests of the Sierra Nevada that directly impacts tree species composition, vertical and horizontal forest structure, and forests' ecological functions, including carbon storage and accumulation, hydrologic regulation, and provision of wildlife habitat. Historically, a mean fire return interval of 2 to 20 years characterized the lower montane zone (van Wagtendonk and Fites-Kaufman 2006). Frequent fire maintained species composition and structure that perpetuated a low-severity regime. Nearly a century of fire suppression, however, has resulted in a threefold increase in tree density and ladder fuel abundance driven by fire-sensitive, shade-tolerant *Abies concolor* (white fir) and *Calocedrus decurrens* (incense-cedar; Scholl and Taylor 2010; Parsons and DeBenedetti 1979). The greater fuel load has increased the likelihood of high-severity fire, precipitating a shift in the fire regime of Yosemite National Park from generally low severity to mixed severity (Thode et al. 2011). Large-diameter trees, particularly large *Pinus* individuals, have concurrently decreased in abundance, potentially due to increased susceptibility to pathogens (e.g., *Heterobasidium annosum*), bark beetles (e.g., *Dendroctonus* spp.), and resource limitation induced by high tree density (Lutz et al. 2009a; Sherman and Warren 1988).

Maintaining forests with drought-tolerant and fire-resistant constituents is an important management objective, particularly considering projections of warmer temperatures and more variable precipitation patterns that may reduce tree establishment and survivorship and increase fire size, frequency, and severity (Littell et al. 2009; Lutz et al. 2009b; IPCC 2007; Kolb et al. 2007; Westerling et al. 2006). Over the past four decades land managers in the Sierra Nevada have used prescribed fire and lightning ignitions to restore historic species composition, reduce tree density, and remove surface and ladder fuels that facilitate high-severity fire (van Wagtendonk 2007). Effects of these management actions on the diameter distributions of individual species and on basic structural metrics, such as tree density and basal area, have been well documented (Collins et al. 2011; North et al. 2007), but the impacts of fire reintroduction on forest functions, such as carbon accumulation, remain unquantified in many lower montane Sierra Nevada forest systems.

Fire effects in upper montane forests have received even less attention. Historically, upper montane forests were characterized by median fire return intervals between 12 and 69 years (van Wagtendonk and Fites-Kaufman 2006). Some upper montane areas, therefore, still exhibit fire and forest interactions that lie within the historic range of variability (Fulé and Laughlin 2007). Quantifying the effects of fire on carbon accumulation of surviving trees is necessary to inform management decisions in lower montane forests, where fire is used as a restoration tool; the same study of carbon accumulation in upper montane forests is of great ecological interest because it provides an opportunity to quantify the impact of fire in systems less affected by the legacy of fire suppression.

The objective of this study was to examine the effects of lower-severity fire on carbon allocation to tree boles by analyzing the tree-ring widths of seven mixed-conifer tree species that vary in tolerance to drought and fire: *A. concolor, Abies magnifica* (red fir), *C. decurrens, Pinus contorta* (lodgepole pine), *Pinus jeffreyi* (Jeffrey pine), *Pinus lambertiana* (sugar pine), and *Pinus ponderosa* (ponderosa pine; Table 1). We assessed differences in growth patterns at nearby burned and unburned sites to remove climate-related trends and partitioned variability in growth response by (1) species, (2) tree diameter, and (3) local environment.

Annually resolved impacts of fire on carbon stores

Tree species	Drought tolerance	Shade tolerance	Fire resistance	Fire adaptations
Abies concolor	low	high	low (young) moderate (mature)	thick bark (mature)
Abies magnifica	high	high (seedling) moderate (sapling)	low (young) moderate (mature)	thick bark (mature)
Calocedrus decurrens	high	high	low (young) moderate (mature)	thick bark (mature)
Pinus contorta	high, also high tolerance for poor drainage		low/moderate	thin bark (mature)
Pinus jeffreyi	high	low	high	thick bark (young); elevated crown (mature); protected buds
Pinus lambertiana	moderate	low (dense shade) high (moderate shade)	low (young) moderate (mature)	thick bark (mature); elevated crown
Pinus ponderosa	high	low	high	thick bark (young); elevated crown (mature); protected buds

Table 1. Relative tolerances and adaptations to fire of seven mixed-conifer species in lower and upper montane forests (Fites-Kaufman et al. 2007; van Wagtendonk and Fites-Kaufman 2006).

Study area

Yosemite and Sequoia & Kings Canyon National Parks are located on the western slope of the central Sierra Nevada (Figures I.1 and I.2). Elevation in Yosemite ranges from 648 m in the foothills to 3,997 m at the crest of Mt. Lyell. Elevation in Sequoia & Kings Canyon ranges from 520 m to 4,418 m at the crest of Mt. Whitney. The Mediterranean climate is reflected in cold, wet winters and warm, dry summers.

Lower montane mixed-conifer stands chiefly occupy an elevational band from 1,500 m to 1,650 m (van Wagtendonk and Fites-Kaufman 2006). Principal tree species include *A. concolor*, *C. decurrens*, *P. jeffreyi*, *P. lambertiana*, *P. ponderosa*, and *Quercus kelloggii* (California black oak). *Pinus ponderosa* and *Q. kelloggii* are common at lower elevations, where reduced water availability limits the abundance of *A. concolor*. *Abies concolor*-mixed-conifer forests generally occupy higher elevation sites with deeper soils. Subdominant *C. decurrens* and *P. lambertiana* are found across the full extent of the lower montane range.

Upper montane mixed-conifer stands are common between 1,950 m and 2,100 m (van Wagtendonk and Fites-Kaufman 2006). *Abies magnifica* intersperses with *A. concolor* at lower elevations and with *P. contorta, P. jeffreyi, Pinus monticola* (western white pine), and *Tsuga mertensiana* (mountain hemlock) at higher elevations. *Juniperus occidentalis-Pinus jeffreyi* woodlands occupy granitic domes.

Fire suppression in these forests began in 1890 and persisted until 1968 in Sequoia & Kings Canyon and until 1972 in Yosemite, when park managers began to use prescribed fire and lightning ignitions to restore historic fire regimes (van Wagtendonk 2007; Kilgore and Briggs 1972). A network of forest patches has resulted, some that have burned up to five times over the past 40 years and others that may not have burned since before 1890 (van Wagtendonk et al. 2012).

Data

Site selection and sampling design

Plot locations were determined by geographic information system based on forest type (Keeler-Wolf et al. 2012; Sequoia and Kings Canyon National Parks Photo Interpretation Report 2007), burn status, fire severity, slope, and distance from streams, roads, and trails. Plots were at least 50 m within the intended forest type and fire perimeter, varied in slope from 0° to 35°, and were >100 m from streams, roads, and trails. We assessed forest type and burn status in the field and repositioned plots to better meet specifications if necessary. Balanced representation of burned and unburned forests dominated by *P. ponderosa, A. concolor, P. jeffreyi*, and *A. magnifica* was a key objective. Burned sites were prioritized over unburned sites in *P. contorta* forests due to the availability of similar data collected in 2010 from unburned stands of *P. contorta* in Sequoia & Kings Canyon.

Data acquisition

Between June and September of 2011 we established 105 0.1 ha circular plots, 46 that had not burned since at least 1930 (hereafter, unburned; Yosemite: 35 plots; Sequoia & Kings Canyon: 11 plots) and 59 that had burned one to five times since 1930 (hereafter, burned;

Yosemite: 32 plots; Sequoia & Kings Canyon: 27 plots; Figures 1.1 and I.2). The burned plots were located within the perimeters of 47 fires (Table 2; Lutz et al. 2011; Eidenshink et al. 2007). A GPS set to the datum NAD83 determined the UTM coordinates at plot center. Species and diameter at breast height (1.37 m; dbh) were recorded for stems \geq 2.5 cm dbh. Two increment cores were extracted at dbh from 10 trees \geq 8 cm dbh that represented the species and diameter complement of conifers at each plot. Cores were taken parallel to contour lines and oriented 180° from one another. Only cores from *A. concolor*, *A. magnifica*, *C. decurrens*, *P. contorta*, *P. jeffreyi*, *P. lambertiana*, and *P. ponderosa*, the most thoroughly sampled species, were included in this analysis. Diameter distributions of each plot are available in the appendices of Becker (2014).

We used the Relative differenced Normalized Burn Ratio (RdNBR), a satellite-derived metric developed by Miller and Thode (2007), to characterize fire severity (Table 3). Each plot was enclosed within a 1 ha circular buffer and RdNBR values from all 30 m \times 30 m pixels with centers that fell within the buffer were extracted. The pixel values associated with each plot were averaged to obtain RdNBR values with minimal georectification error (Key and Benson 2006).

Increment core preparation

Increment cores were air-dried, glued to wood mounts, and sanded to a high polish with increasingly smooth sandpaper (220 - 400 grit). Each core was examined under a microscope, and dots were penciled on the cores to mark each decade. All cores were scanned at 1200 dots per inch, and ring-widths were measured manually in WinDENDRO (version 2012a). Unusual rings were checked under a microscope to ensure correct measurement. Lists of marker years were manually generated for each core based on inspection of the scanned image. Core series from the same tree were crossdated and then compared with crossdated tree-ring series from the same site. Seventy-eight trees from burned plots and 69 trees from unburned plots were excluded from further analysis because neither increment core from the tree crossdated well (Table 4). Of the trees retained, 391 trees from burned plots and 340 trees from unburned plots were represented by two cores; 115 trees from burned plots and 42 trees from unburned plots were from burned plots and in one core of 5 trees from unburned plots. Missing rings were identified and inserted in one core of 13 trees from burned plots (Table 4).

Fire name	Start date	Cause	Size (ha)	Species sampled	No. of plots	No. of trees
Yosemite National H	Park					
Wawona	1970	MI	178.9	CADE, PIPO	2	14
Wawona	1971	MI	61.2	CADE, PIPO	1	7
Wawona	1973	MI	36.1	CADE, PIPO	1	7
Wawona	1975	MI	18.7	CADE, PIPO	1	7
PW27	9/27/1978	MI	2074.3	CADE, PIJE, PIPO	2	17
PW09	1979	MI	1932.5	ABCO, PILA	1	9
YNP-111	1980	MI	830.4	ABCO, PILA	1	9
So. Wawona 3/4	4/15/1985	MI	36.9	CADE, PIPO	1	7
Eleanor	1986	LTG	583.6	ABCO, CADE, PIPO	1	8
Elbow	8/10/1988	LTG	182.3	ABMA, PICO	4	37
Pw3	10/16/1989	MI	688.4	ABCO, ABMA, PIJE, PILA	4	38
M Grove	1990	MI	9.4	ABCO, PILA	1	10
South Fork	10/15/1992	MI	209.6	ABCO, CADE, PILA, PIPO	3	23
YNP-0065	9/14/1993	MI	37.4	ABCO, CADE	1	6
Studhorse	6/7/1994	MI	56.5	CADE, PIPO	1	7
Ackerson	8/14/1996	LTG	23938.7	ABCO, CADE, PIJE, PILA, PIPO	5	46
Mg #9	9/17/1997	MI	17.3	ABCO, PILA	1	10
Kibbie Relight	9/18/1997	MI	993.4	ABCO, ABMA, PIJE, PILA	2	19
Eleanor	8/10/1999	LTG	1042.3	ABCO, CADE, PIPO	1	8
Studhorse 4	5/13/2002	MI	21.3	CADE, PIPO	1	7
YI Burn	9/27/2002	MI	31.4	ABCO, CADE	1	6
PW-3 Gin Flat	10/3/2002	MI	1360.3	ABCO, ABMA, PIJE, PILA	4	38
Soupbowl	6/1/2005	MI	57.4	CADE, PIPO	1	7
PW5-AD	6/27/2005	MI	104.3	ABCO, CADE, PILA	1	9
PW3-23	8/28/2005	MI	699.0	ABCO, CADE, PILA	2	19
Jack WF	11/8/2007	LTG	447.9	ABCO, CADE, PILA	1	9
Mariposa Grove	9/30/2008	MI	53.5	ABCO, PILA	1	10
Wawona NW	10/14/2008	MI	249.5	ABCO, CADE, PILA	2	15
Big Meadow	8/26/2009	MI	3058.7	ABCO, CADE, PILA, PIPO	3	24
Sequoia & Kings Ca	nyon Nationa	l Park				
Atwell Mil	6/20/1946	MI	90.0	ABCO, ABMA	1	10
Castle Gro	9/15/1947	MI	149.6	ABMA	1	7
Comanche	7/22/1974	LTG	1218.4	ABCO, ABMA, PICO, PIJE	8	70
Ferguson	6/26/1977	LTG	4216.3	ABMA, PICO, PIJE	1	10
Lewis Crk	9/30/1980	MI	3368.8	PIJE, PIPO	3	16
Sugarloaf	7/28/1985	LTG	1152.2	ABCO, ABMA, PICO, PIJE	8	70
Paradise	1/14/1994	MI	55.7	ABCO, CADE, PILA	1	5
Mineral I	10/11/1995	MI	843.1	ABCO, CADE, PILA	1	5
Shee Cree	10/27/1997	MI	149.7	ABCO, PIPO	1	7
Lewis Cree	10/13/1998	MI	645.2	PIJE, PIPO	3	16
Tar Gap	8/17/1999	MI	248.3	ABMA	1	9
Tar Gap RX	10/10/2002	MI	489.4	ABCO, ABMA	2	19
Atwood	6/25/2003	MI	1098.4	ABCO, ABMA, CADE, PILA	3	24
Williams	7/28/2003	LTG	1404.8	ABCO, ABMA, PICO, PIJE	5	49
Comb	7/22/2005	LTG	3947.3	ABMA, PIJE	4	30
Highbrid E	10/24/2005	MI	344.6	PIJE	1	10
Horse	7/19/2009	LTG	268.7	PICO	1	7
Sheep Complex	7/16/2010	LTG	3650.1	ABCO, PIPO	1	7

Table 2. Fire attributes and sample depth for 29 fires in Yosemite National Park and 18 fires in Sequoia & Kings Canyon National Park (Lutz et al. 2011; Eidenshink et al. 2007).

Table 3. Fire severity thresholds for the Relative differenced Normalized Burn Ratio (RdNBR; Miller and Thode 2007). Landsatundifferentiated fire severity refers to areas within fire perimeters with RdNBR values that do not differ from adjacent unburned areas (Kolden et al. 2012).

Fire severity	RdNBR	No. of plots	No. of cores
Undifferentiated	<69	14	125
Low	69-315	30	136
Moderate	316-640	16	274
High	>640	1	5

6

			Bur	ned					Unbu	ırned		
Species	Colle	ected	Retai	ined	Manip	ulated	Co	llected	Reta	ined	Manip	ulated
	Cores	Trees	Cores	Trees	Cores	Trees	Core	s Trees	Cores	Trees	Cores	Trees
Abies concolor	214	110	176	100	4	4	19:	5 98	155	80	0	0
Abies magnifica	226	114	208	109	8	6	244	122	225	115	1	1
Calocedrus decurrens	122	63	82	48	8	6	118	60	80	43	3	3
Pinus contorta	164	82	116	71	1	1	33	3 17	27	15	0	0
Pinus jeffreyi	255	129	199	112	20	15	124	62	103	58	0	0
Pinus lambertiana	74	38	60	33	0	0	6	35	59	31	0	0
Pinus ponderosa	95	48	56	33	9	5	11.	5 57	73	40	1	1
Total	1150	584	897	506	50	37	898	3 451	722	382	5	5

Table 4. Number of crossdated cores and trees retained for analysis and number of manipulations to those cores based on crossdating inferences. Trees remained in the analysis if at least one core could be crossdated.

Analysis

Tree cores were categorized first by species and then by geographic area. We analyzed species separately because we expected species to respond differently to fire. Degree of fire tolerance as well as the adaptations that confer fire resistance differ among species (Table 1). Moreover, we expected fire behavior to differ depending on the species present. Species composition varies with site productivity, which can influence fire severity via the amount and type of accumulated fuel (Kane et al. 2015; Guarín and Taylor 2005). Species, themselves, also generate unique fuel beds. Short fir needles produce a more compact layer that burns less intensely relative to pine needles (van Wagtendonk and Moore 2010; Stephens et al. 2004).

Tree cores of each species were grouped by geographic area so that tree-ring series from burned and unburned plots would share the same climate signal (Figures I.1, I.2, II.1, II.2, III.1, III.2, IV.1, IV.2, V.1, V.2, VI.1, VI.2, VII.1, and VII.2).

Raw ring-width data were used in this analysis. Series from the same tree were averaged together and standardized to enable comparisons of fire effects on growth among trees of different sensitivities. Ring-width indices for each tree were created by subtracting the series mean from the raw ring-width values and dividing by the series standard deviation. The influence of early growth on the ring-width indices was minimized by excluding growth rings that fell within 10 years of pith.

For each species within each geographic area, the effects of climate on ring-width were removed by subtracting an unburned control chronology from growth chronologies associated with the burned sites (Peterson et al. 1994). One unburned control chronology was developed for each geographic area by averaging together the ring-width indices of trees from the unburned plots. Burned plots within each geographic area were categorized by fire history. A burned chronology was developed for each set of plots with a common fire history by averaging together the ring-width indices of trees from the burned plots. The control chronology was subtracted from each burned chronology and the corresponding standard deviation was calculated. These results were graphically displayed over the period of known fire history (1930-2010). To verify that differences in growth could be primarily attributed to fire at the burned sites rather than irregular growth at the control sites, the unburned control indices used to generate the control chronology were categorized by plot and dbh and graphically displayed.

We visually examined growth patterns over the five years following each fire event. The duration of post-fire study was consistent with previous work by van Mantgem et al. (2003), who demonstrated that most fire-related mortality occurs within five years after fire. By examining growth over a five-year period, we included both the immediate and delayed effects of the disturbance.

We explored variability in growth response among trees from burned plots by subtracting the control chronology from individual tree indices that were categorized by dbh and plot and graphing the results. This analysis was repeated on the unburned control indices of each geographic area to establish a control for growth variability within and among series. The unburned chronology was recalculated to exclude a particular control series and was then subtracted from that series. These difference indices were categorized by dbh and plot and graphically displayed.

We quantified incidences of anomalous growth increases and decreases that occurred during the five-year period following each fire event. Growth increases and decreases were considered anomalous if they exceeded a threshold value. The appropriate unburned control chronology (paired by species and geographic area) was subtracted from each burned series, creating difference indices. These indices were categorized by sign. The mean and standard deviation were calculated separately for positive and negative indices values. Threshold values were generated by adding the standard deviation of the positive indices to the mean of the positive indices and by subtracting the standard deviation of the negative indices from the mean of the negative indices. Five iterations of post-fire indices were compared to the threshold values, beginning with the index of the first year after fire and continuing with the mean of the indices of the first and second, first through third, first through fourth, and first through fifth years after fire. Post-fire indices were averaged because tree growth is autocorrelated, and index values associated with later post-fire years should not be regarded as independent of growth during preceding years. Threshold values were computed five times per series, each time excluding the post-fire year or years under examination from the calculation of the mean and standard deviation.

We identified the effects of species, diameter class, RdNBR, fire cause, fire event, and plot on the frequency of different types of anomalous post-fire growth. We grouped difference indices by the categories within each variable and calculated the proportions of series exhibiting anomalous growth increases and decreases in each group.

Results

Seven types of growth responses during the five-year post-fire period were observed in the burned chronology minus control chronology difference indices: (1) Post-fire growth did not deviate noticeably from pre-fire growth, (2) Post-fire growth decreased immediately after fire, followed by an increase, (3) Post-fire growth decreased immediately after fire, followed by a decrease, (4) Post-fire growth decreased immediately after fire, followed by a return to pre-fire levels, (5) Post-fire growth increased immediately after fire, followed by an increase, (6) Post-fire growth increased immediately after fire, followed by a decrease, or (7) Post-fire growth increased immediately after fire, followed by a decrease, or (7) Post-fire growth patterns at unburned sites did not exhibit unusual fluctuations following fire years, supporting the inference that differences between the burned and unburned chronologies reflected responses to fire at the burned sites (see unburned control plots indexed in the List of Figures).

All seven species included instances of (1) no apparent fire response, (2) an initial decrease in growth followed by an increase, and (3) an initial increase in growth followed by a decrease (Table 5). The second of these scenarios manifested more strongly. The difference index of zero fell more than one standard deviation from the difference indices during either the initial or the delayed post-fire response for all species, and during both the initial and delayed response periods for *A. concolor* and *C. decurrens* (Table 5). All species except *P. contorta* demonstrated instances of initial growth decreases followed by a return to pre-fire growth levels (Table 5). The remaining growth patterns of (1) an initial followed by a delayed decrease, (2) an initial followed by a delayed increase, and (3) an initial increase followed by a return to pre-fire levels were less widespread, surfacing in no more than three species each (Table 5).

Neither plot nor diameter class was a reliable indicator of similar growth response among the difference indices of individual burned series minus the control chronology. Although all species included at least one instance in which growth patterns were similar within the same plot, all species also demonstrated the reverse (Table 6). Moreover, the level of within-plot variability was not consistent across plots that shared the same fire history. All species except *P. ponderosa* exhibited similar levels of variation in growth patterns among plots with the same fire history,

but all seven species also included one or more instances depicting the opposite (Table 6). Diameter class produced the same set of contradictory trends. All species included some instances where trees in the same diameter class had similar growth patterns and others where growth patterns differed. Similarly, growth patterns differed among diameter classes for all species in some but not all cases (Table 6).

Post-fire growth decreases that fell below the negative threshold value were much more common than growth increases that exceeded the positive threshold value (Tables 7 through 10). Proportions of growth decreases one year after fire categorized by species ranged from 0.24 to 0.43, while the proportions of growth increases ranged from 0 to 0.05 (Table 7). These values differed considerably from 0.16, the expected proportion if post-fire difference indices were randomly distributed, and may indicate a uniform, downward shift in the distribution of post-fire growth. Unsurprisingly, this pattern resurfaced when the same data were split based on diameter class, RdNBR, fire cause, fire event, and plot (Tables 8 through 10; Appendices I and II).

As the post-fire period under scrutiny lengthened, the proportion of series with anomalous growth decreases tended to diminish, evidence of subsequent increases in growth. This pattern held true for all species except *A. magnifica*, all diameter class except 75.1-90.0 cm and 105.1-120.0 cm, low and moderate but not undifferentiated fire severity, and both lightning-and management-ignited fires (Tables 7 through 10). Collinearity among these exceptions was low: Representation in the 75.1-90.0 cm and 105.1-120.0 cm diameter classes of *A. magnifica* (12; 12) was comparable to that of *A. concolor* (17; 5), *P. jeffreyi* (12; 11), and *P. lambertiana* (6; 7), and only 11.2% percent of the tree-ring series burned at undifferentiated severity were *A. magnifica* (Table 11). Species, diameter class, and fire severity may, therefore, have some merit as predictors of post-fire growth, while fire cause does not.

Table 5. Variability in post-fire growth responses across species. The initial response refers to the first or second year after fire. The delayed response is capped at five years. A weak response indicates that the difference index of zero fell within one standard deviation of the difference indices. A moderate response indicates that the difference index of zero fell more than one standard deviation from the difference indices either during the initial response period or the delayed response period. A strong response indicates that the difference index of zero fell more than one standard deviation from the difference indices during both the initial and delayed response periods. An example figure is noted in the table for each species that demonstrated a particular growth response.

Post-fire growth	Initial	None		Decrease			Decrease	;	Dec	rease		Increase			Increase		Incr	ease
response	Delayed	None		Increase			Decrease	•	No	one		Increase			Decrease		No	one
			Weak	Mod	Strong	Weak	Mod	Strong	Weak	Strong	Weak	Mod	Strong	Weak	Mod	Strong	Weak	Strong
Abies concolor		Fig. 13	Fig. 13	Figs. 3, 17	Fig. 5			Fig. 41	Fig. 11		Fig. 9			Fig. 15	Fig. 39			
Abies magnifica		Fig. 21	Fig. 5	Fig. 13		Fig. 3			Fig. 25					Fig. 17			Fig. 7	
Calocedrus decu	rrens	Fig. 7	Fig. 3	Figs. 11, 17	Fig. 3					Fig. 15					Fig. 25			
Pinus contorta		Fig. 11		Fig. 9										Fig. 3				
Pinus jeffreyi		Fig. 5	Fig. 3	Fig. 3					Fig. 20						Fig. 7			
Pinus lambertian	a	Fig. 25	Fig. 15	Fig. 3						Fig. 22	Fig. 23				Fig. 13		Fig. 9	
Pinus ponderosa		Fig. 3	Fig. 3	Fig. 11					Fig. 19		Fig. 7			Fig. 17			Fig. 25	

Table 6. Variability within and among plots and diameter classes by species. An example figure is noted in the table for each species that demonstrated either heterogeneity or homogeneity in growth response.

Spacias		I	Plot			Diam	eter class	
species	Differ within	Similar within	Differ among	Similar among	Differ within	Similar within	Differ among	Similar among
Abies concolor	Fig. 10a	Fig. 32a	Fig. 32a	Fig. 10a	Fig. 10b	Fig. 20b	Fig. 12	Fig. 4
Abies magnifica	Fig. 4a	Fig. 16a	Fig. 4a	Fig. 16a	Fig. 4b	Fig. 6	Fig. 4b	Fig. 4b
Calocedrus decurrens	Fig. 12a	Fig. 12a	Fig. 4b	Fig. 3	Fig. 6	Fig. 18b	Fig. 16	Fig. 3
Pinus contorta	Fig. 4a	Fig. 10a	Fig. 10a	Fig. 4a	Fig. 4b	Fig. 14	Fig. 8	Fig. 10b
Pinus jeffreyi	Fig. 6a	Fig. 21a	Fig. 6a	Fig. 19a	Fig. 6b	Fig. 4	Fig. 4	Fig. 6b
Pinus lambertiana	Fig. 12a	Fig. 20a	Fig. 12a	Fig. 8	Fig. 16	Fig. 26	Fig. 10	Fig. 16
Pinus ponderosa	Fig. 12a	Fig. 4	Fig. 12a		Fig. 14	Fig. 4	Fig. 12b	Fig. 4

meter	class

Annually resolved impacts of fire on carbon stores

Spacias	1 ye	ar post-f	ire	1-2 y	ears post	t-fire	1-3 y	ears post	-fire	1-4 ye	ars post	-fire	1-5	years pos	t-fire
species	-	+	n	-	+	n	-	+	n	-	+	n	-	+	n
Abies concolor	0.27	0.05	165	0.21	0.04	154	0.16	0.02	136	0.15	0.01	131	0.15	0.02	131
Abies magnifica	0.33	0.02	122	0.34	0.02	122	0.38	0.03	122	0.34	0.04	122	0.37	0.04	122
Calocedrus decurrens	0.29	0.04	93	0.21	0.01	89	0.17	0.01	88	0.15	0.03	86	0.10	0.03	86
Pinus contorta	0.29	0.05	93	0.19	0.03	86	0.20	0.05	86	0.20	0.07	86	0.19	0.08	86
Pinus jeffreyi	0.24	0.03	199	0.18	0.04	199	0.08	0.03	199	0.07	0.06	199	0.07	0.05	199
Pinus lambertiana	0.43	0.00	46	0.29	0.02	41	0.29	0.03	35	0.30	0.03	33	0.30	0.06	33
Pinus ponderosa	0.31	0.05	65	0.16	0.02	61	0.21	0.03	61	0.16	0.03	61	0.11	0.02	61

Table 7. Proportion of tree-ring series by species that demonstrated post-fire growth decreases (-) and increases (+) relative to the unburned control chronology that exceeded one standard deviation below (-) or above (+) the mean value of the negative (-) or positive (+) difference indices generated from subtracting the unburned control chronology from each burned series.

Table 8. Proportion of tree-ring series by diameter class that demonstrated post-fire growth decreases (-) and increases (+) relative to the unburned control chronology that exceeded one standard deviation below (-) or above (+) the mean value of the negative (-) or positive (+) difference indices generated from subtracting the unburned control chronology from each burned series.

Diameter class (cm)	1 ye	ear post-f	fire	1-2	l years p	ost-fire		<u>1-3 y</u>	ears pos	t-fire	1-4 y	ears post	-fire	1-5	years pos	st-fire
	-	+	n	-	+	n		-	+	n	-	+	n	-	+	n
8.0-15.0	0.32	0.05	38	0.1	9 0.0	0 3	6	0.14	0.00	36	0.08	0.03	36	0.06	0.03	36
15.1-30.0	0.29	0.02	123	0.2	4 0.0	3 11	6	0.19	0.04	113	0.21	0.02	111	0.18	0.02	111
30.1-45.0	0.28	0.06	172	0.2	1 0.0	6 16	9	0.16	0.04	161	0.15	0.07	160	0.11	0.07	160
45.1-60.0	0.31	0.05	131	0.2	0.0	3 12	2	0.16	0.03	117	0.14	0.04	115	0.15	0.04	115
60.1-75.0	0.29	0.03	127	0.2	0.0	2 12	4	0.21	0.03	123	0.17	0.06	122	0.18	0.05	122
75.1-90.0	0.18	0.02	49	0.2	2 0.0	2 4	6	0.20	0.02	45	0.20	0.02	45	0.22	0.02	45
90.1-105.0	0.36	0.02	61	0.2	8 0.0	0 5	8	0.23	0.02	56	0.22	0.04	55	0.22	0.04	55
105.1-120.0	0.25	0.03	36	0.3	3 0.0	3 3	6	0.33	0.03	33	0.24	0.03	33	0.30	0.03	33
>120	0.28	0.00	46	0.1	8 0.0	0 4	5	0.19	0.00	43	0.22	0.00	41	0.20	0.00	41

Table 9. Proportion of tree-ring series by RdNBR that demonstrated post-fire growth decreases (-) and increases (+) relative to the unburned control chronology that exceeded one standard deviation below (-) or above (+) the mean value of the negative (-) or positive (+) difference indices generated from subtracting the unburned control chronology from each burned series.

DANDD	1 ye	ar post-f	fire	1-2 y	ears pos	st-fire	1-3 ye	ars post	-fire	1-4 y	1-4 years post-fire				st-fire
KUNDK	-	+	n	-	+	n	-	+	n	-	+	n	-	+	n
Undifferentiated	0.11	0.03	124	0.09	0.02	124	0.09	0.03	124	0.07	0.03	115	0.0	7 0.04	115
Low	0.30	0.03	267	0.25	0.04	267	0.26	0.04	257	0.23	0.05	257	0.2	3 0.05	257
Moderate	0.37	0.01	134	0.26	0.03	110	0.18	0.02	100	0.16	0.06	100	0.0	9 0.04	100
High	0.80	0.00	5	0.80	0.00	5			0			0	-		0

Table 10. Proportion of tree-ring series by fire cause that demonstrated post-fire growth decreases (-) and increases (+) relative to the unburned control chronology that exceeded one standard deviation below (-) or above (+) the mean value of the negative (-) or positive (+) difference indices generated from subtracting the unburned control chronology from each burned series.

Causa	1 ye	ar post-f	ire	1-2 y	ears post	t-fire	1-3 y	ears post	t-fire	1-4 ye	ears post	-fire	1-5	years pos	st-fire
Cause	-	+	n	-	+	n	-	+	n	-	+	n	-	+	n
Lightning-ignited	0.30	0.03	337	0.23	0.03	330	0.18	0.02	330	0.15	0.05	321	0.14	0.04	321
Management-ignited	0.28	0.04	446	0.22	0.03	422	0.20	0.03	397	0.19	0.04	397	0.19	0.04	397

Annually resolved impacts of fire on carbon stores

Spacios		RdN	BR		Fire	cause
Species	Undiff.	Low	Mod.	High	LTG	MI
Abies concolor	32	64	23	2	29	140
Abies magnifica	14	76	6	0	43	85
Calocedrus decurrens	12	18	16	0	17	78
Pinus contorta	14	44	3	0	93	0
Pinus jeffreyi	36	47	64	0	148	58
Pinus lambertiana	11	13	10	3	7	39
Pinus ponderosa	6	12	14	0	14	53

Table 11. Examination of collinearity between species and RdNBR and fire cause.

Discussion

The most startling result of this study was the marked lack of strong growth responses to fire relative to the background level of annual variability in growth. This was due, in part, to the high level of background variability. Much dendrochronological research targets trees in environments defined by specific limiting factors, circumstances which homogenize growth responses. This study, in contrast, included forest-grown trees of diverse ages, diameters, and heights, differences likely to coincide with heterogeneous responses to local climate and soil, insects, pathogens, mechanical damage, competitive processes – and fire. Interestingly, however, trees did not often exhibit greater sensitivity to fire than to other drivers of stand dynamics. In cases where growth did demonstrate a fire response, post-fire difference indices generally did not vary more than one standard deviation from the difference index of zero and often fell within the same range as pre-fire growth (Table 5).

The subtle growth responses that did surface in this study corroborated previous work on fire and tree growth. Our most prevalent trend was an initial growth depression following a fire event (Table 7). Similarly, Slayton (2010) found that fire suppressed growth of *P. ponderosa* in the Payette National Forest of central Idaho for three years following fire. Varner et al. (2009) detected growth decreases in *Pinus palustris* (longleaf pine) one year after fire. Mutch and Swetnam (1995) found a growth reduction in *Sequoiadendron giganteum* (giant sequoia) for one year following high-severity fire. We demonstrated this trend in six additional species: *A. concolor, A. magnifica, C. decurrens, P. contorta, P. jeffreyi*, and *P. lambertiana* (Table 7).

Our study examined growth responses in trees that ranged from 8.0 cm to >120.0 cm dbh. Mutch and Swetnam (1995) found that *S. giganteum* experienced prolonged growth increases following prescribed fire in the Sierra Nevada, suggesting that larger diameter trees, with thicker bark to minimize cambial damage, could be more likely to show growth increases during the post-fire period. Interestingly, our results showed that delayed post-fire growth increases were actually more consistent among diameter classes <75.0 cm dbh, although the trend was also observed among trees 90.1-105.0 cm and >120.0 cm dbh (Table 8). Lack of post-fire growth increases in some large-diameter trees could reflect damage to stem and root systems that can occur when accumulations of bark flakes combust, increase the fire temperature near the tree, and prolong exposure to high temperature by smoldering (Varner et al. 2009; Kolb et al. 2007). In general, post-fire growth trends varied among trees regardless of diameter class, suggesting that (1) fire severity varied within plots and (2) trees varied in their growth responses (Table 6).

Growth responses also varied with fire severity. Growth depressions followed by growth increases were common among series associated with low and moderate severity fire (Table 9).

Series associated with undifferentiated fire, however, less frequently demonstrated reductions in growth immediately after fire and were not characterized by a subsequent growth increase (Table 9). This suggests that fires of undifferentiated severity may exert minimal effect on tree growth. Alternatively, undifferentiated fire severity could, in some cases, delineate unburned patches within a fire perimeter (Kolden et al. 2012). This could explain why a smaller proportion of series associated with undifferentiated fire severity were characterized by growth responses typical of trees actually exposed to flames (Table 9).

Fire cause did not partition variability in post-fire growth response, indicating that effects on tree growth of prescribed versus natural fires may not differ at the 0.1 ha scale (Table 10). This is a surprising result, given that prescribed fires allegedly burn areas more uniformly, leaving behind fewer unburned patches and burning areas more consistently at undifferentiated and low severity. These results may be skewed by the inclusion of the 2009 Big Meadow fire in the management-ignited category. Although this fire was ignited intentionally, it rapidly transitioned into a wildfire that burned a much larger area than expected (3058.7 ha) at higher severity relative to controlled prescribed fires (Table 2). It is unlikely, however, that the inclusion or exclusion of this single fire event would alter the overall result. Only 24 trees in this study, less than 5.6% of the trees representing management-ignited fires, were located within the perimeter of the Big Meadow fire (Table 2). A more probable explanation is that these results are an artifact of our sampling design. Our study only included cores from trees that exhibited post-fire growth and were sufficiently undamaged to enable crossdating. Among this population, cause of ignition may have minimal influence on fire effects because we pre-selected for trees that likely experienced a similar level of exposure to fire.

In general, the scope of inference of this study was limited to fire effects on trees that were (1) long-term fire survivors and (2) sensitive to similar limiting factors and therefore possible to crossdate. The selection of cores included here may consequently represent only a fraction of the possible growth responses to fire. This is a limitation common to all post-fire studies where pre-fire demography data are unavailable.

While our study's scope was limited, our objective was broad – to examine the growth responses in two National Parks of seven species across their full diameter range to undifferentiated, low, moderate, and high severity fire. With data collection limited to a single season, we were unable to conduct a fully balanced study. The burned and unburned series of each species that were differenced to identify fire effects on growth were not always located in comparably-sized geographic areas, nor were the unburned control chronologies always generated from a consistent number of trees representing the full range of diameter classes. Our results, therefore, do not provide an exhaustive list of all post-fire growth possibilities for each species, nor do they necessarily represent the proportions of different fire responses within each species that a more traditional study with a balanced design, random sampling, and large sample sizes could have revealed. Consequently, definitive comparisons among species should be avoided. Within species, instances where the control chronology was based on a large number of trees that (1) occupied areas adjacent to associated burned sites and (2) represented the same diameter classes as trees sampled at the burned sites yield more robust results (e.g., A. concolor Crane Flat). Interpretation of this study should be guided by the maps of sample locations for each species and the sample size information that is included in each table and figure caption.

Although sample depth was not consistent across all species and geographic areas, this study did quantify the effect of fire on tree growth, a key step in assessing the impacts of prescribed and natural fires on carbon accumulation in forests of the Sierra Nevada. We

catalogued growth responses of seven mixed-conifer species to prescribed and natural fires and examined growth sensitivity across diameter and fire severity classes. Post-fire growth patterns seldom differed noticeably from growth fluctuations that resulted from other natural disturbances. This result suggests that use of fire in Yosemite and Sequoia & Kings Canyon National Parks will not adversely affect the capacity of survivors to attain pre-fire rates of carbon accumulation within five years of a fire event.

Acknowledgements

We thank the National Park Service and the Byron and Alice Lockwood Endowed Fellowship for financial support. Justin Romanowitz, Tim Martel, and Kevin Song collected data and organized logistics during the field season. John Park and Jamie Wilson assisted with tree core processing. Tucker Furniss processed tree cores and created the maps of plot locations.

References

- Becker, K.M.L. 2014. Effects of low-severity fire on species composition and structure in montane forests of the Sierra Nevada, California, USA. *Master's Thesis*, University of Washington.
- Collins, B.M., R.G. Everett, and S.L. Stephens. 2011. Impacts of fire exclusion and recent managed fire on forest structure in old growth Sierra Nevada mixed-conifer forests. Ecosphere **2**: art51.
- Eidenshink, J., B. Schwind, K. Brewer, Z. Zhu, B. Quayle, and S. Howard. 2007. A project for monitoring trends in burn severity. Fire Ecology **3**: 3-21.
- Fites-Kaufman, J., P. Rundel, N. Stephenson, and D.A. Weixelman. 2007. Montane and subalpine vegetation of the Sierra Nevada and Cascade Ranges. Pages 456-501 in M. Barbour, T. Keeler-Wolf, and A. A. Schoenherr, editors. Terrestrial Vegetation of California. University of California Press, Berkeley, California.
- Fulé, P.Z., and D.C. Laughlin. 2007. Wildland fire effects on forest structure over an altitudinal gradient, Grand Canyon National Park, USA. Journal of Applied Ecology **44**: 136-146.
- Guarín, A., and A.H. Taylor. 2005. Drought triggered tree mortality in mixed conifer forests in Yosemite National Park, California, USA. Forest Ecology and Management 218: 229-244.
- IPCC, 2007: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Solomon, S., D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B. Averyt, M. Tignor, and H.L. Miller (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 996 pp.
- Kane, V.R., J.A. Lutz, C.A. Cansler, N.A. Povak, D. Churchhill, D.F. Smith, J.T. Kane, and M.P. North. 2015. Water balance and topography predict fire and forest structure patterns. Forest Ecology and Management 338: 1-13.
- Keeler-Wolf, T., P.E. Moore, E.T. Reyes, J.M. Menke, D.N. Johnson, and D.L. Karavides. 2012. Yosemite National Park Vegetation Classification and Mapping Project Report. Natural Resource Report NPS/YOSE/NRTR-2012/598. National Park Service Fort Collins, Colorado.
- Key, C.H., and N.C. Benson. 2006. Landscape Assessment: Ground measure of severity, the Composite Burn Index, and remote sensing of severity, the Normalized Burn Ratio. In: D.C. Lutes, R.E. Keane, J.F. Caratti, C.H. Key, N.C. Benson, S. Sutherland, and L.J. Gangi. 2005. FIREMON: Fire Effects Monitoring and Inventory System. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Ogden, UT. Gen. Tech. Rep. RMRS-GTR-164-CD: LA1-51.
- Kilgore, B.M., and G.S. Briggs. 1972. Restoring fire to high elevation forests in California. J. Forestry **70**: 266-271.
- Kolb, T.E., J.K. Agee, P.Z. Fulé, N.G. McDowell, K. Pearson, A. Sala, and R.H. Waring. 2007. Perpetuating old ponderosa pine. Forest Ecology and Management **249**: 141-157.
- Kolden, C.A., J.A. Lutz, C.H. Key, J.T. Kane, and J.W. van Wagtendonk. 2012. Mapped versus actual burned area within wildfire perimeters: Characterizing the unburned. Forest Ecology and Management **286**: 38-47.
- Littell, J.S., D. McKenzie, D.L. Peterson, and A.L. Westerling. 2009. Climate and wildfire area

burned in western U.S. ecoprovinces, 1916-2003. Ecological Applications **19**: 1003-1021.

- Lutz, J.A., C.H. Key, C.A. Kolden, J.T. Kane, and J.W. van Wagtendonk. 2011. Fire frequency, area burned, and severity: A quantitative approach to defining normal fire year. Fire Ecology **7**: 51-65.
- Lutz, J.A., J.W. van Wagtendonk, and J.F. Franklin. 2009a. Twentieth-century decline of largediameter trees in Yosemite National Park, California, USA. Forest Ecology and Management 257: 2296-2307.
- Lutz, J.A., J.W. van Wagtendonk, A.E. Thode, J.D. Miller, and J.F. Franklin. 2009b. Climate, lightning ignitions, and fire severity in Yosemite National Park, California, USA. International Journal of Wildland Fire **18**: 765-774.
- Miller, J.D., and A.E. Thode. 2007. Quantifying burn severity in a heterogeneous landscape with a relative version of the delta Normalized Burn Ratio (dNBR). Remote Sensing of Environment **109**: 66-80.
- Mutch L.S., Swetnam T.W. 1995. Effects of fire severity and climate on ring-width growth of Giant Sequoia after fire. In: Brown, James K; Mutch RW; Spoon CW; Wakimoto RH, tech. Coord. 1995. Proceedings: Symposium on Fire in Wilderness and Park Management: Past Lessons and Future Opportunities, March 30-April 1, 1993. Missoula, MT. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-GTR-320. Ogden, UT; U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station.
- North, M., J. Innes, and H. Zald. 2007. Comparison of thinning and prescribed fire restoration treatments to Sierran mixed-conifer historic conditions. Canadian Journal of Forest Research **37**: 331-342.
- Parsons, D.J., and S.H. DeBenedetti. 1979. Impact of fire suppression on a mixed-conifer forest. Forest Ecology and Management **2**: 21-33.
- Peterson D.L., Sackett S.S., Robinson L.J., Haase S.M. 1994. The effects of repeated prescribed burning on *Pinus ponderosa* growth. International Journal of Wildland Fire 4: 239-247.
- Scholl, A.E., and A.H. Taylor. 2010. Fire regimes, forest change, and self-organization in an oldgrowth mixed-conifer forest, Yosemite National Park, USA. Ecological Applications 20: 362-380.
- Sequoia and Kings Canyon National Parks Photo Interpretation Report. 2007. USGS-NPS Vegetation Mapping Program. Environmental Systems Research Institute, Inc. Redlands, CA.
- Sherman, R.J., and K. Warren. 1988. Factors in Pinus ponderosa and Calocedrus decurrens mortality in Yosemite Valley, USA. Vegetation **77**: 79-85.
- Slayton J.D. 2010. Separating the Effects of Wildfires from Climate in Growth of Ponderosa Pine (Pinus ponderosa Douglas ex. C. Lawson), Central Idaho, U.S.A.. *Master's Thesis*, University of Tennessee.
- Stephens S.L., M.A. Finney, and H. Schantz. 2004. Bulk density and fuel loads of ponderosa pine and white fir forest floors: Impacts of leaf morphology. Northwest Science. 78: 93-100.
- Thode, A.E., J.W. van Wagtendonk, J.D. Miller, and J.F. Quinn. 2011. Quantifying the fire regime distributions of severity in Yosemite National Park, California, USA. International Journal of Wildland Fire **20**: 223-239.

- van Mantgem, P.J., N.L. Stephenson, L.S. Mutch, V.G. Johnson, A.M. Esperanza, and D.J. Parsons. 2003. Growth rate predicts mortality of *Abies concolor* in both burned and unburned stands. Canadian Journal of Forest Research **33**: 1029-1038.
- van Wagtendonk, J.W. 2007. The history and evolution of wildland fire use. Fire Ecology **3**: 3-17.
- van Wagtendonk, J.W., and J. Fites-Kaufman. 2006. Sierra Nevada bioregion. Pages 264-294 *in* N.G. Sugihara, J.W. van Wagtendonk, K.E. Shaffer, J. Fites-Kaufman, and A.E. Thode, editors. Fire in California's Ecosystems. University of California Press, Berkeley, California.
- van Wagtendonk, J.W., and P.E. Moore. 2010. Fuel deposition rates of montane and subalpine conifers in the central Sierra Nevada, California, USA. Forest Ecology and Management **259**:_2122-2132.
- van Wagtendonk, J.W., K.A. van Wagtendonk, and A.E. Thode. 2012. Factors associated with the severity of intersecting fires in Yosemite National Park, California, USA. Fire Ecology **8**: 11-31.
- Varner, J.M., F.E. Putz, J.J. O'Brien, J.K. Hiers, R.J. Mitchell, and D.R. Gordon. 2009. Post-fire tree stress and growth following smoldering duff fires. Forest Ecology and Management 258: 2467-2474.
- Westerling, A.L., H.G. Hidalgo, D.R. Cayan, and T.W. Swetnam. 2006. Warming and earlier spring increase western US forest wildfire activity. Science **313**: 940-943.

Appendix I. Proportion of tree-ring series by fire event that demonstrated post-fire growth decreases (-) and increases (+) relative to the unburned control chronology that exceeded one standard deviation below (-) or above (+) the mean value of the negative (-) or positive (+) difference indices generated from subtracting the unburned control chronology from each burned series.

Eine	1 year post-fire			1-2 ye	1-2 years post-fire			1-3 years post-fire			1-4 years post-fire			1-5 years post-fire		
Fire	-	+	n	-	+	n	_	+	n	-	+	n	-	+	n	
Wawona1970	0.21	0.07	14	0.14	0.00	14	0.14	0.00	14	0.07	0.00	14	0.07	0.00	14	
Wawona1971	0.14	0.00	7	0.14	0.00	7	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.00	7	
Wawona1973	0.14	0.14	7	0.00	0.14	7	0.00	0.14	7	0.00	0.14	7	0.00	0.00	7	
Wawona1975	0.00	0.14	7	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.00	7	
PW27	0.24	0.06	17	0.24	0.00	17	0.18	0.00	17	0.18	0.06	17	0.24	0.06	17	
PW09	0.11	0.11	9	0.00	0.11	9	0.11	0.00	9	0.11	0.00	9	0.11	0.11	9	
YNP-111	0.11	0.00	9	0.11	0.00	9	0.11	0.11	9	0.11	0.11	9	0.11	0.00	9	
So. Wawona 3/4	0.00	0.14	7	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.00	7	
Eleanor 1986	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.00	8	0.00	0.00	8	
Elbow	0.00	0.11	37	0.00	0.05	37	0.00	0.08	37	0.00	0.08	37	0.00	0.08	37	
Pw3	0.13	0.00	38	0.08	0.03	38	0.05	0.05	38	0.05	0.03	38	0.05	0.05	38	
M.Grove	0.00	0.00	10	0.00	0.00	10	0.00	0.00	10	0.00	0.00	10	0.00	0.00	10	
South Fork	0.35	0.00	23	0.13	0.00	23	0.09	0.00	23	0.09	0.00	23	0.04	0.00	23	
YNP-0065	0.17	0.00	6	0.17	0.00	6	0.17	0.00	6	0.17	0.00	6	0.33	0.00	6	
Studhorse	0.43	0.00	7	0.43	0.00	7	0.29	0.14	7	0.29	0.14	7	0.14	0.00	7	
Ackerson	0.26	0.04	46	0.17	0.04	46	0.15	0.02	46	0.11	0.07	46	0.07	0.07	46	
Mg #9	0.40	0.10	10	0.20	0.10	10	0.20	0.10	10	0.20	0.00	10	0.20	0.00	10	
Kibbie Relight	0.11	0.00	19	0.05	0.05	19	0.11	0.16	19	0.16	0.16	19	0.05	0.11	19	
Eleanor 1999	0.75	0.00	8	0.63	0.00	8	0.63	0.00	8	0.63	0.00	8	0.25	0.00	8	
Studhorse 4	0.57	0.00	7	0.00	0.00	7	0.29	0.00	7	0.14	0.00	7	0.14	0.00	7	
YI Burn	0.00	0.00	6	0.00	0.00	6	0.00	0.00	6	0.00	0.00	6	0.00	0.00	6	
PW-3 Gin Flat	0.42	0.00	38	0.32	0.05	38	0.29	0.05	38	0.26	0.03	38	0.26	0.03	38	
Soupbowl	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.00	7	
PW5-AD	0.89	0.00	9	0.89	0.00	9	0.89	0.00	9	0.78	0.00	9	0.67	0.00	9	
PW3-23	0.47	0.05	19	0.58	0.00	19	0.47	0.00	19	0.42	0.00	19	0.37	0.11	19	
Jack WF	0.22	0.00	9	0.22	0.00	9	0.22	0.00	9			0			0	
Mariposa Grove	0.50	0.00	10	0.40	0.00	10			0			0			0	
Wawona NW	0.40	0.13	15	0.40	0.20	15			0			0			0	
Big Meadow	0.46	0.04	24			0			0			0			0	
Atwell Mil	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.00	4	0.00	0.25	4	
Castle Gro	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.14	7	0.00	0.14	7	
Comanche	0.59	0.02	66	0.45	0.02	66	0.27	0.00	66	0.29	0.00	66	0.26	0.00	66	
Ferguson	0.40	0.00	10	0.20	0.00	10	0.10	0.00	10	0.10	0.00	10	0.20	0.00	10	
Lewis Crk	0.25	0.13	16	0.25	0.13	16	0.31	0.13	16	0.31	0.13	16	0.31	0.13	16	
Sugarloaf	0.16	0.04	68	0.07	0.06	68	0.01	0.04	68	0.01	0.07	68	0.01	0.07	68	
Paradise	0.00	0.40	5	0.20	0.00	5	0.00	0.00	5	0.00	0.20	5	0.00	0.20	5	
Mineral I	0.00	0.00	5	0.00	0.00	5	0.00	0.00	5	0.00	0.20	5	0.00	0.20	5	
Sheep Cree	0.17	0.33	6	0.00	0.00	6	0.17	0.00	6	0.17	0.00	6	0.17	0.00	6	
Lewis Cree	0.13	0.00	16	0.00	0.00	16	0.00	0.00	16	0.00	0.00	16	0.00	0.00	16	
Tar Gap	0.33	0.00	9	0.44	0.00	9	0.33	0.00	9	0.44	0.00	9	0.44	0.00	9	
Tar.Gap RX	0.58	0.00	19	0.32	0.00	19	0.37	0.00	19	0.47	0.00	19	0.53	0.00	19	
Atwood	0.25	0.00	24	0.33	0.00	24	0.50	0.00	24	0.50	0.04	24	0.50	0.00	24	
Williams	0.29	0.00	48	0.42	0.00	48	0.44	0.00	48	0.29	0.02	48	0.35	0.00	48	
Comb	0.30	0.03	30	0.13	0.03	30	0.13	0.03	30	0.10	0.10	30	0.10	0.10	30	
Highbrid E	0.60	0.00	10	0.60	0.00	10	0.30	0.00	10	0.20	0.00	10	0.20	0.00	10	
Horse	0.57	0.00	7			0			0			0			0	
Sheep Complex			0			0			0			0			0	

Appendix II. Proportion of tree-ring series by plot that demonstrated post-fire growth decreases (-) and increases (+) relative to the unburned control chronology that exceeded one standard deviation below (-) or above (+) the mean value of the negative (-) or positive (+) difference indices generated from subtracting the unburned control chronology from each burned series.

Dlot	1 ye	ear post-	fire	1-2 y	ears pos	t-fire	1-3 ye	ears pos	t-fire	1-4 years post-fire		1-5 years post-fire			
Plot	-	+	n	-	+	n	-	+	n	-	+	n	-	+	n
P000	0.20	0.10	10	0.10	0.10	10	0.10	0.00	10	0.00	0.00	10	0.00	0.00	10
P002	0.38	0.00	8	0.00	0.00	8	0.13	0.00	8	0.13	0.00	8	0.00	0.00	8
P003	0.33	0.00	6	0.00	0.00	6	0.00	0.00	6	0.00	0.00	6	0.00	0.00	6
P011	0.43	0.00	14	0.36	0.00	14	0.29	0.00	14	0.21	0.00	14	0.21	0.00	14
P012	0.11	0.00	9	0.11	0.00	9	0.00	0.00	9	0.00	0.11	9	0.00	0.11	9
P017	0.35	0.05	20	0.25	0.00	20	0.25	0.00	20	0.25	0.05	20	0.20	0.05	20
P018	0.50	0.00	10	0.60	0.00	10	0.50	0.00	10	0.40	0.00	10	0.40	0.20	10
P019	0.20	0.00	9	0.56	0.00	9	0.23	0.00	9	0.10	0.00	9	0.33	0.00	9
P020	0.33	0.00	9	0.33	0.00	9	0.11	0.00	9	0.11	0.00	9	0.55	0.00	9
P023	0.55	0.00	10	0.55	0.00	0	0.11	0.00	Ó	0.11	0.00	Ó	0.11	0.00	0
P024	0.00	0.00	5			0			0			0			0
P024	0.00	0.00	10	0.00	0.20	10	0.00	0.20	10	0.00	0.20	10	0.00	0.20	10
P020	0.00	0.20	0	0.00	0.20	0	0.00	0.20	0	0.00	0.20	0	0.00	0.20	0
P026	0.00	0.22	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.11	0	0.00	0.11	0	0.00	0.11	0
D060	0.22	0.00	10	0.11	0.00	10	0.22	0.00	10	0.55	0.00	10	0.11	0.00	10
P000	0.00	0.00	20	0.00	0.10	20	0.00	0.30	20	0.00	0.30	20	0.00	0.20	20
P001	0.10	0.00	20	0.10	0.10	20	0.05	0.15	20	0.05	0.10	20 19	0.05	0.13	20
P002	0.55	0.00	10	0.28	0.00	10	0.22	0.00	10	0.22	0.00	10	0.22	0.00	10
P003	0.00	0.10	10	0.00	0.10	10	0.00	0.10	10	0.00	0.20	10	0.00	0.20	10
P065	0.19	0.07	27	0.06	0.06	18	0.11	0.06	18	0.11	0.06	18	0.11	0.06	18
P066	0.20	0.20	10	0.20	0.30	10			0			0			0
P068	0.38	0.00	16	0.31	0.00	16	0.31	0.00	16	0.31	0.00	16	0.13	0.00	16
P0/3	0.08	0.00	12	0.08	0.00	12	0.08	0.00	12	0.08	0.00	12	0.17	0.00	12
P085	0.89	0.00	9	0.89	0.00	9	0.89	0.00	9	0.78	0.00	9	0.67	0.00	9
P087	0.20	0.00	20	0.20	0.00	20	0.20	0.00	20	0.15	0.00	20	0.15	0.00	20
P088	0.50	0.00	18	0.22	0.00	18	0.22	0.00	18	0.22	0.00	18	0.22	0.00	18
P110	0.22	0.00	9	0.22	0.00	9	0.22	0.00	9			0			0
P111	0.07	0.11	28	0.04	0.04	28	0.04	0.04	28	0.04	0.04	28	0.04	0.00	28
P112	0.29	0.03	35	0.14	0.00	35	0.14	0.03	35	0.09	0.03	35	0.06	0.00	35
P113	0.80	0.00	5	0.80	0.00	5			0			0			0
P119	0.30	0.03	30	0.20	0.03	30	0.10	0.05	20	0.10	0.00	20	0.10	0.00	20
P136	0.56	0.00	9	0.22	0.00	9	0.22	0.00	9	0.33	0.00	9	0.33	0.00	9
P137	0.40	0.10	10	0.20	0.10	10	0.20	0.10	10	0.20	0.10	10	0.20	0.10	10
P138	0.22	0.00	9	0.33	0.00	9	0.56	0.00	9	0.56	0.11	9	0.56	0.00	9
P139	0.60	0.00	10	0.80	0.00	10	0.80	0.00	10	0.50	0.00	10	0.60	0.00	10
P140	0.20	0.00	10	0.00	0.00	10	0.00	0.00	10	0.00	0.20	10	0.00	0.20	10
P141	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.14	7	0.00	0.14	7
P148	0.00	0.13	15	0.07	0.00	15	0.00	0.00	15	0.00	0.13	15	0.00	0.13	15
P149	0.17	0.33	6	0.00	0.00	6	0.17	0.00	6	0.17	0.00	6	0.17	0.00	6
P158	0.38	0.00	8	0.25	0.00	8	0.13	0.00	8	0.13	0.00	8	0.13	0.00	8
P159	0.30	0.00	10	0.50	0.00	10	0.60	0.00	10	0.50	0.00	10	0.60	0.00	10
P160	0.29	0.00	14	0.21	0.00	14	0.00	0.00	14	0.00	0.00	14	0.00	0.00	14
P168	0.17	0.00	18	0.11	0.00	18	0.11	0.06	18	0.06	0.11	18	0.06	0.11	18
P170	0.00	0.08	12	0.00	0.08	12	0.00	0.00	12	0.00	0.00	12	0.00	0.00	12
P186	0.29	0.00	14	0.36	0.00	14	0.50	0.00	14	0.50	0.00	14	0.50	0.07	14
P187	0.33	0.00	9	0.44	0.00	9	0.33	0.00	9	0.44	0.00	9	0.44	0.00	9
P190	0.50	0.08	12	0.33	0.08	12	0.42	0.08	12	0.42	0.00	12	0.42	0.00	12
P192	0.20	0.00	20	0.10	0.00	20	0.10	0.00	20	0.10	0.00	20	0.10	0.00	20
P193	0.50	0.05	20	0.35	0.05	20	0.30	0.05	20	0.30	0.10	20	0.25	0.15	20
P195	0.19	0.04	27	0.15	0.04	27	0.04	0.00	27	0.07	0.04	27	0.07	0.00	27
P196	0.60	0.00	10	0.60	0.00	10	0.30	0.00	10	0.20	0.00	10	0.20	0.00	10
P197	0.45	0.10	20	0.30	0.05	20	0.20	0.00	20	0.20	0.05	20	0.20	0.05	20
P198	0.50	0.00	20	0.40	0.00	20	0.25	0.00	20	0.25	0.00	20	0.20	0.00	20
P251	0.26	0.00	23	0.17	0.09	23	0.00	0.09	23	0.00	0.09	23	0.00	0.00	23
P257	0.20	0.00	10	0.17	0.00	10	0.00	0.00	10	0.00	0.00	10	0.00	0.04	10
D252	0.40	0.00	10	0.00	0.00	10	0.70	0.00	10	0.40	0.00	10	0.50	0.00	10
P255	0. 4 0 0.60	0.00	10	0.20	0.00	10	0.10	0.00	10	0.10	0.00	10	0.20	0.00	10
1 255 D756	0.00	0.00	7	0.40	0.00	10	0.50	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	10	0.70	0.00	10
1 230 D762	0.57	0.00	0		0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0		0.00	0
1203 D761	0.00	0.00	7 0	0.00	0.00	7 0	0.00	0.00	7 0	0.00	0.00	7 0	0.00	0.00	9
<u>r</u> 204	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.00	フ	0.00	0.00	7	0.00	0.00	7

List of Figures

Part I. Abies concolor	1
Figure 1. Plot locations in Yosemite National Park	1
Figure 2. Plot locations in Sequoia & Kings Canyon National Park	2
Yosemite National Park	3
Kibbie Creek region	3
Kibbie Relight 1997	3
Figure 3. Difference chronology	3
Figure 4. Difference indices by dbh	4
Eleanor 1986 and Eleanor 1999	5
Figure 5. Difference chronology	5
Figure 6. Difference indices by dbh	6
Unburned control	7
Figure 7a. Series indices by plot	7
Figure 7b. Series indices by dbh	8
Figure 8a. Difference indices by plot	9
Figure 8b. Difference indices by dbh	10
Crane Flat region	11
South Fork 1992	11
Figure 9. Difference chronology	.11
Figure 10a. Difference indices by plot	12
Figure 10b. Difference indices by dbh	.13
Ackerson 1996	.14
Figure 11. Difference chronology	14
Figure 12. Difference indices by dbh	15
Pw3 1989 and PW-3 Gin Flat 2002	.16
Figure 13. Difference chronology	.16
Figure 14a. Difference indices by plot	17
Figure 14b. Difference indices by dbh	18
YNP-0065 1993 and YI Burn 2002	.19
Figure 15. Difference chronology	19
Figure 16. Difference indices by dbh	20
PW5-AD 2005	.21
Figure 17. Difference chronology	21
Figure 18. Difference indices by dbh	22
PW3-23 2005	.23
Figure 19. Difference chronology	23
Figure 20a. Difference indices by plot	24
Figure 20b. Difference indices by dbh	25
PW09 1979, YNP-111 1980, and Big Meadow 2009	26
Figure 21. Difference chronology	26
Figure 22. Difference indices by dbh	27
Big Meadow 2009	28
Figure 17. Difference chronology	28
Figure 18. Difference indices by dbh	29

Unburned control	30
Figure 25a. Series indices by plot	30
Figure 25b. Series indices by dbh	31
Figure 26a. Difference indices by plot	32
Figure 26b. Difference indices by dbh	33
Wawona region	34
Jack WF 2007	34
Figure 27. Difference chronology	34
Figure 28. Difference indices by dbh	35
M Grove 1990, Mg #9 1997, and Mariposa Grove 2008	36
Figure 29. Difference chronology.	36
Figure 30. Difference indices by dbh	37
Wawona NW 2008	38
Figure 31. Difference chronology	38
Figure 32a. Difference indices by plot	39
Figure 32b. Difference indices by dbh	40
Unburned control	41
Figure 33a. Series indices by plot	41
Figure 33b. Series indices by dbh	42
Figure 34a. Difference indices by plot	43
Figure 34b. Difference indices by dbh	44
Sequoia & Kings Canyon National Park	45
Tar Gap RX 2002	45
Figure 35. Difference chronology	45
Figure 36. Difference indices	45
Comanche 1974 and Sugarloaf 1985	46
Figure 37. Difference chronology	46
Figure 38. Difference indices by dbh	47
Comanche 1974, Sugarloaf 1985, and Williams 2003	48
Figure 39. Difference chronology	48
Figure 40. Difference indices	48
Williams 2003	49
Figure 41. Difference chronology	49
Figure 42. Difference indices by dbh.	
Atwell Mil 1946 and Atwood 2003.	
Figure 43. Difference chronology	51
Figure 44. Difference indices.	
Paradise 1994. Mineral I 1995. and Atwood 2003.	.52
Figure 45. Difference chronology.	
Figure 46. Difference indices by dbh.	
Sheep Cree 1997 and Sheep Complex 2010	54
Figure 47. Difference chronology	54
Figure 48. Difference indices by dbh	
Unburned control	56
Figure 49. Series indices by plot	
Figure 50. Difference indices by plot	57
1 igure 50. Difference marces by prot	

Part II Abies magnifica	58
Figure 1 Plot locations in Yosemite National Park	58
Figure 2. Plot locations in Sequoia & Kings Canvon National Park	59
Yosemite National Park	60
Elbow 1988	60
Figure 3. Difference chronology	60
Figure 4a. Difference indices by plot	61
Figure 4b. Difference indices by dbh	62
Kibbie Relight 1997	63
Figure 5. Difference chronology	63
Figure 6. Difference indices by dbh	64
Pw3 1989 and PW-3 Gin Flat 2002	65
Figure 7. Difference chronology	65
Figure 8a. Difference indices by plot	66
Figure 8b. Difference indices by dbh	67
Unburned control	68
Figure 9a. Series indices by plot	68
Figure 9b. Series indices by dbh	69
Figure 10a. Difference indices by plot	70
Figure 10b. Difference indices by dbh	71
Sequoia & Kings Canyon National Park	72
Cedar Grove region	72
Ferguson 1997	72
Figure 11. Difference chronology	72
Figure 12. Difference indices by dbh	73
Comanche 1974 and Sugarloaf 1985	74
Figure 13. Difference chronology	74
Figure 14. Difference indices	74
Williams 2003	75
Figure15. Difference chronology	75
Figure 16a. Difference indices by plot	76
Figure 16b. Difference indices by dbh	77
Comb 2005	78
Figure 17. Difference chronology	78
Figure 18. Difference indices by dbh	79
Unburned control	80
Figure 19a. Series indices by plot	80
Figure 19b. Series indices by dbh	81
Figure 20a. Difference indices by plot	82
Figure 20b. Difference indices by dbh	83
Mineral King region	84
Castle Gro 1947	84
Figure 21. Difference chronology	84
Figure 22. Difference indices by dbh	85
Tar Gap 1999	86
Figure 23. Difference chronology	86

Figure 24. Difference indices by dbh	87
Tar Gap RX 2002	88
Figure 25. Difference chronology	88
Figure 26a. Difference indices by plot	89
Figure 26b. Difference indices by dbh	90
Atwell Mil 1946 and Atwood 2003	91
Figure 27. Difference chronology	91
Figure 28. Difference indices by dbh	92
Atwood 2003	93
Figure 29. Difference chronology	93
Figure 30. Difference indices by dbh	94
Unburned control	95
Figure 31a. Series indices by plot	95
Figure 31b. Series indices by dbh	96
Figure 32a. Difference indices by plot	97
Figure 32b. Difference indices by dbh	98
Part III. Calocedrus decurrens	99
Figure 1. Plot locations in Yosemite National Park	99
Figure 2. Plot locations in Sequoia & Kings Canyon National Park	.100
Yosemite National Park	.101
Lake Eleanor region	.101
PW27 1978 and Ackerson 1996	.101
Figure 3. Difference chronology	.101
Figure 4a. Difference indices by plot	.102
Figure 4b. Difference indices by dbh	.103
Ackerson 1996	.104
Figure 5. Difference chronology	.104
Figure 6. Difference indices by plot	.105
Eleanor 1986 and Eleanor 1999	.106
Figure 7. Difference chronology	.106
Figure 8. Difference indices by dbh	.107
Unburned control	.108
Figure 9a. Series indices by plot	.108
Figure 9b. Series indices by dbh	.109
Figure 10a. Difference indices by plot	.110
Figure 10b. Difference indices by dbh	.111
Crane Flat region	.112
South Fork 1992	.112
Figure 11. Difference chronology	.112
Figure 12a. Difference indices by plot	.113
Figure 12b. Difference indices by dbh	.114
YNP-0065 1993 and YI Burn 2002	.115
Figure 13. Difference chronology	.115
Figure 14. Difference indices by dbh	
PW5-AD 2005	.117
Figure 15. Difference chronology	.117

Figure 16. Difference indices by dbh	.118
PW3-23 2005	119
Figure 17. Difference chronology	.119
Figure 18a. Difference indices by plot	.120
Figure 18b. Difference indices by dbh	.121
Big Meadow 2009	.122
Figure 19. Difference chronology	.122
Figure 20. Difference indices by dbh	.123
Unburned control	124
Figure 21a. Series indices by plot	124
Figure 21b. Series indices by dbh	125
Figure 22a. Difference indices by plot	.126
Figure 22b. Difference indices by dbh	.127
Wawona region	128
Wawona 1970, Wawona 1971, So. Wawona 3/4 1985, Studhorse 1994, and	
Studhorse 4 2002	.128
Figure 23. Difference chronology	.128
Figure 24. Difference indices	128
Wawona 1970, Wawona 1973, Wawona 1975, and Soupbowl 2005	.129
Figure 25. Difference chronology	.129
Figure 26. Difference indices by dbh	.130
Jack WF 2007	131
Figure 27. Difference chronology	.131
Figure 28. Difference indices by dbh	132
Wawona NW 2008	.133
Figure 29. Difference chronology	.133
Figure 30. Difference indices	.133
Unburned control	134
Figure 31a. Series indices by plot	134
Figure 31b. Series indices by dbh	135
Figure 32a. Difference indices by plot	.136
Figure 32b. Difference indices by dbh	.137
Sequoia & Kings Canyon National Park	138
Paradise 1994, Mineral I 1995, and Atwood 2003	138
Figure 33. Difference chronology	.138
Figure 34. Difference indices by dbh	139
Unburned control	139
Figure 35. Series indices	139
Part IV. Pinus contorta	140
Figure 1. Plot locations in Yosemite National Park	.140
Figure 2. Plot locations in Sequoia & Kings Canyon National Park	141
Yosemite National Park	142
Elbow 1988	142
Figure 3. Difference chronology	.142
Figure 4a. Difference indices by plot	.143
Figure 4b. Difference indices by dbh	.144

Unburned control	145
Figure 5a. Series indices by plot	145
Figure 5b. Series indices by dbh	146
Figure 6a. Difference indices by plot	.147
Figure 6b. Difference indices by dbh	.148
Sequoia & Kings Canyon National Park	149
Ferguson 1997	.149
Figure 7. Difference chronology	.149
Figure 8. Difference indices by dbh	.150
Comanche 1974 and Sugarloaf 1985	151
Figure 9. Difference chronology	.151
Figure 10a. Difference indices by plot	.152
Figure 10b. Difference indices by dbh	.153
Williams 2003	.154
Figure11. Difference chronology	154
Figure 12. Difference indices by dbh	.155
Horse 2009	156
Figure 13. Difference chronology	.156
Figure 14. Difference indices by dbh	.157
Unburned control	158
Figure 15. Series indices by dbh	158
Figure 16. Difference indices by dbh	.159
Part V. Pinus jeffreyi	160
Figure 1. Plot locations in Yosemite National Park	.160
Figure 2. Plot locations in Sequoia & Kings Canyon National Park	161
Yosemite National Park	162
Lake Eleanor region	.162
PW27 1978 and Ackerson 1996	162
Figure 3. Difference chronology	162
Figure 4. Difference indices by dbh	.163
Ackerson 1996	164
Figure 5. Difference chronology	.164
Figure 6a. Difference indices by plot	.165
Figure 6b. Difference indices by dbh	.166
Kibbie Relight 1997	167
Figure 7. Difference chronology	.167
Figure 8a. Difference indices by plot	.168
Figure 8b. Difference indices by dbh	.169
Unburned control	170
Figure 9. Series indices by dbh	170
Figure 10. Difference indices by dbh	.171
Crane Flat region	.172
Ackerson 1996	172
Figure 11. Difference chronology	.172
Figure 12. Difference indices by dbh	174
Pw3 1989 and Pw-3 Gin Flat 2002	1/4

Figure 13. Difference chronology	.174
Figure 14a. Difference indices by plot	.175
Figure 14b. Difference indices by dbh	.176
Unburned control	177
Figure 15a. Series indices by plot	.177
Figure 15b. Series indices by dbh	.178
Figure 16a. Difference indices by plot	.179
Figure 16b. Difference indices by dbh	.180
Sequoia & Kings Canyon National Park	181
Cedar Grove region	.181
Ferguson 1997	.181
Figure 17. Difference indices	.181
Comanche 1974 and Sugarloaf 1985	.181
Figure 18. Difference chronology	.181
Figure 19a. Difference indices by plot	.182
Figure 19b. Difference indices by dbh	.183
Comanche 1974, Sugarloaf 1985, and Williams 2003	184
Figure 20. Difference chronology	.184
Figure 21a. Difference indices by plot	.185
Figure 21b. Difference indices by dbh	.186
Lewis Crk 1980, Lewis Cree 1998, and Comb 2005	.187
Figure 22. Difference chronology	.187
Figure 23a. Difference indices by plot	.188
Figure 23b. Difference indices by dbh	.189
Comb 2005	.190
Figure 24. Difference chronology	.190
Figure 25. Difference indices by dbh	.191
Unburned control	.192
Figure 26. Series indices	.192
Mineral King region	.193
Highbrid E 2005	.193
Figure 27. Difference chronology	.193
Figure 28. Difference indices by dbh	.194
Unburned control	195
Figure 29a. Series indices by plot	.195
Figure 29b. Series indices by dbh	.196
Figure 30a. Difference indices by plot	.197
Figure 30b. Difference indices by dbh	.198
Part VI. Pinus lambertiana	199
Figure 1. Plot locations in Y osemite National Park	.199
Figure 2. Plot locations in Sequoia & Kings Canyon National Park	200
Y osemite National Park.	201
KIDDIE Ureek region	.201
Kibble Kelight 1997.	.201
Figure 3. Difference chronology	.201
Figure 4. Difference indices by dbh	.202

Unburned control	.203
Figure 5a. Series indices by plot	.203
Figure 5b. Series indices by dbh	.204
Figure 6a. Difference indices by plot	.205
Figure 6b. Difference indices by dbh	.206
Crane Flat region	.207
South Fork 1992	.207
Figure 7. Difference chronology	.207
Figure 8. Difference indices by plot	.208
Ackerson 1996	.209
Figure 9. Difference chronology	.209
Figure 10. Difference indices by dbh	.210
Pw3 1989 and PW-3 Gin Flat 2002	.211
Figure 11. Difference chronology	.211
Figure 12a. Difference indices by plot	.212
Figure 12b. Difference indices by dbh	.213
PW5-AD 2005	.214
Figure 13. Difference chronology	.214
Figure 14. Difference indices by dbh	.215
PW3-23 2005	.216
Figure 15. Difference chronology	.216
Figure 16. Difference indices by dbh	
PW09 1979, YNP-111 1980, and Big Meadow 2009	.218
Figure 17. Difference chronology	.218
Figure 18. Difference indices	
Big Meadow 2009	.219
Figure 19. Difference chronology	.219
Figure 20a. Difference indices by plot	
Figure 20b. Difference indices by dbh	.221
Unburned control	.222
Figure 21a. Series indices by plot	.222
Figure 21b. Series indices by dbh	.223
Figure 22a. Difference indices by plot	.224
Figure 22b. Difference indices by dbh	.225
Wawona region	.226
Jack WF 2007	226
Figure 23. Difference chronology	.226
Figure 24. Difference indices	.226
M Grove 1990, Mg #9 1997, and Mariposa Grove 2008	.227
Figure 25. Difference chronology	.227
Figure 26. Difference indices by dbh	.228
Wawona NW 2008	.229
Figure 27. Difference chronology	.229
Figure 28. Difference indices by dbh	.230
Unburned control	.231
Figure 29a. Series indices by plot	.231

Figure 29b. Series indices by dbh	232
Figure 30a. Difference indices by plot	233
Figure 30b. Difference indices by dbh	234
Sequoia & Kings Canyon National Park	235
Paradise 1994, Mineral I 1995, and Atwood 2003	235
Figure 31. Difference indices	235
Unburned control	235
Figure 32. Series indices	235
Part VII. Pinus ponderosa	236
Figure 1. Plot locations in Yosemite National Park	236
Figure 2. Plot locations in Sequoia & Kings Canyon National Park	237
Yosemite National Park	238
Lake Eleanor region	238
PW27 1978 and Ackerson 1996	238
Figure 3. Difference chronology	238
Figure 4. Difference indices by dbh	239
Ackerson 1996	240
Figure 5. Difference chronology	240
Figure 6. Difference indices by dbh	241
Eleanor 1986 and Eleanor 1999.	242
Figure 7. Difference chronology	242
Figure 8. Difference indices	242
Unburned control	243
Figure 9a. Series indices by plot	243
Figure 9b. Series indices by dbh	244
Figure 10a. Difference indices by plot	245
Figure 10b. Difference indices by dbh	246
Crane Flat region	247
South Fork 1992	247
Figure 11. Difference chronology	247
Figure 12a. Difference indices by plot	248
Figure 12b. Difference indices by dbh	249
Big Meadow 2009	250
Figure 13. Difference chronology	250
Figure 14. Difference indices by dbh	251
Unburned control	252
Figure 15a. Series indices by plot	252
Figure 15b. Series indices by dbh	253
Figure 16a. Difference indices by plot	254
Figure 16b. Difference indices by dbh	255
Wawona region	256
Wawona 1970, Wawona 1971, So. Wawona ³ / ₄ 1985, Studhorse 1994, and	
Studhorse 4 2002	256
Figure 17. Difference chronology	256
Figure 18. Difference indices	256
Wawona 1970, Wawona 1973, Wawona 1975, and Soupbowl 2005	257

Figure 19. Difference chronology	
Figure 20. Difference indices by dbh	
Unburned control	259
Figure 21a. Series indices by plot	
Figure 21b. Series indices by dbh	
Figure 22a. Difference indices by plot	
Figure 22b. Difference indices by dbh	
Sequoia & Kings Canyon National Park	
Cedar Grove region	
Lewis Crk 1980 and Lewis Cree 1998	
Figure 23. Difference chronology	
Figure 24. Difference indices by dbh	
Sheep Cree 1997 and Sheep Complex 2010	
Figure 25. Difference chronology	
Figure 26. Difference indices by dbh	
Unburned control	
Figure 27. Series indices by dbh	
Figure 28. Difference indices by dbh	